

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/1489 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 29 APR 2016

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 107 OF 2015.

(Sub :- Compulsory Retirement)

1. Mr. Devanand R. Dighole,
R/at. D2 201, The Rutu Estate, Opp. T.M.C., Commissioner Bungalow,
Near Hiranandani Estate, Ghodbundar Road, Patlipada, Thane.

...**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

- 1 The State of Maharashtra, Through the Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai.
2 The Special I.G. of Police, Kokan Range, Kokan Bhavan, Navi Mumbai.
3 The Superintendent of Police, Thane Rural, Dist. Thane.

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 27th day of April, 2016 has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Shri K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Applicant.
Shri K.B. Bhise, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.**

DATE : **27.04.2016.**

ORDER : 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states as follows:-

(a) The order impugned in this O.A. was challenged by the Applicant before the appellate forum during pendency of this O.A., and competent authority has dismissed the appeal.

(b) The Applicant will have to take out fresh O.A. for challenging the order passed by appellate authority.

3. In view of the statement of learned Advocate for the Applicant, this O.A. is disposed of with liberty to the Applicant to challenge impugned order along with order passed in appeal.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman.

Amal
28/4/2016
Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.